



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/411,212

10/04/1999

DENNIS L. VENABLE

D/99423Q

8000

7590

04/09/2004

JOHN E BECK
XEROX CORPORATION
XEROX SQUARE 20A
ROCHESTER, NY 14644

EXAMINER

DASTOURI, MEHRDAD

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2623

DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004

26

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/411,212

Applicant(s)

VENABLE, DENNIS L.

Examiner

Mehrdad Dastouri

Art Unit

2623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6, 8-14 and 16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6, 8-14 and 16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 27, 2004 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's amendment filed March 30, 2004, has been entered and made of record.
3. No arguments have been presented regarding the teachings of the prior arts of record in conjunction with the previously presented or currently amended claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dermer et al (U.S. 5,313,570) in view of Fukuda et al (U.S. 5,867,593).

Regarding Claim 1, Dermer et al disclose a method of processing multiple structured images using an imaging input device with smart platen so as to reduce

bleeding of edges of multiple digital images arranged upon the smart platen by
determining the boundaries of each of the multiple images, comprising:

arranging multiple objects upon the imaging input device with a smart platen for
scanning (Figure 1, original images 110, scanner 112; Column 8, Lines 3-5);

scanning the multiple objects with the imaging input device with a smart platen so
as to produce an input image (Figure 1, images 114; Column 8, Lines 3-5);

generating bin lists with greater than three edge points therein for the input image
data produced in the scanning step (Figures 11, 12, 14-16; Tables 2 and 3; Column 14,
Lines 31 to Column 16, Line 20);

detecting a boundary of a first image from the bin list (Figure 6; Column 10, Lines
58-68, Column 11, Lines 1-32. Table 1 depicts the boundary of Object 1 (Red fill);
Figures 12, 14-16; Tables 2, 3 and 9, "RED" object; Column 18, Lines 44-60);

detecting a boundary of a second image from the bin list (Figure 6; Column 10,
Lines 58-68, Column 11, Lines 1-32. Table 1 depicts the boundary of Object 2 (Blue
fill); Figures 12, 14-16; Tables 2 and 3, "BLUE" object; Column 16, Lines 21-36);

determining an overlap between the detected boundaries of the first and second
images (Column 5, Lines 30-39; Column 11, Lines 33-49);

modeling a third image from the calculated overlap of the first and second
images (Figures 14-16); and

determining an overlap between the detected boundaries of the first and second
images (Figure 6; Column 4, Lines 62-68, Column 5, Lines 1-56; Column 10, Lines 58-
68, Column 11, Lines 1-32. Table 1 depicts the boundary of Object 2 (Red fill).).

Dermer et al do not specifically disclose modeling third image wherein the third image contains at least said first and second images and represents a depiction of said first and second images without an overlap between said first and second images.

Fukuda et al disclose an image region dividing apparatus for discriminating image regions comprising generation of a third image containing at least a first and second images (Figure 25C, Images A' and B') and representing a depiction of a first and a second without an overlap between the first and second images (Figures 20-24; Column 21, Lines 37-48; Column 22, Lines 41-54).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dermer et al et al invention according to the teachings of Fukuda et al to represent a depiction of the first and second overlapped images without an overlap between the images because it will eliminate redundant information in the first and second images and reduce image processing time and storage requirements.

Regarding Claim 2, Fukuda et al further disclose the method according to Claim 1, comprising:

wherein the step of determining an overlap of the first and second images uses a maximum threshold value in at least an X-axial direction for the first and second images (Figures 20B and 20H, Condition (a). The maximum threshold is X_{ei} , X_{sj} should be smaller than the maximum threshold.).

Regarding Claim 3, Fukuda et al further disclose the method according to Claim 1, comprising:

wherein the step of determining an overlap of the first and second images uses a minimum threshold value in at least an X-axial direction for the first and second images (Figures 20B and 20H, Condition (a). The minimum threshold is X_{si} , X_{sj} should be greater than the minimum threshold.).

Regarding Claim 4, disclose the method according to Claim 1, comprising:

wherein the step of determining an overlap of the first and second images further comprises:

determining a maximum threshold value in at least an X-axial direction for the first and second images (Figures 20B and 20H, Condition (a). The maximum threshold is X_{ei} , X_{ej} should be smaller than the maximum threshold.),

determining a minimum threshold value in at least an X-axial direction for the first and second images (Figures 20B and 20H, Condition (a). The minimum threshold is X_{si} , X_{sj} should be greater than the minimum threshold.),

comparing the maximum and minimum values of the first and second images in a manner so as to ascertain an overlap between the first and second images (Figure 20H, Condition (a)).

Regarding Claim 5, Fukuda et al further disclose the method according to Claim 4, comprising:

wherein the step of comparing includes further at least determining if a minimum threshold value in the X-axial direction of the first image (X_{sj} . Image j is considered the first image.) is greater than a maximum threshold value in the X-axial direction of the

Art Unit: 2623

second image (X_{ei} . Image i is considered the second image.) (Figure 20H. X_{sj} is greater than X_{ei}).

Regarding Claim 6, Fukuda et al further disclose the method according to Claim 4, comprising:

wherein the step of comparing includes further at least determining if a maximum threshold value in the X-axial direction of the first image is greater than a minimum threshold value in the X-axial direction of the second image (Figure 20H, Condition (a). The maximum threshold X_{ei} is greater than the minimum threshold X_{si}).

Regarding Claim 7, as best understood by the Examiner, Fukuda et al further disclose the method according to Claim 4, comprising:

estimating the overlap of the first and second images in the X-axial direction based on the threshold values in the X-axial direction of the first and second images when an overlap between the first and second images is ascertained (Figure 21B).

Regarding Claim 8, Fukuda et al further disclose the method according to Claim 1, comprising:

wherein the step of determining an overlap of the first and second images further comprises:

determining a maximum threshold value in at least the Y-axial direction for the first and second images (Figures 20E and 20H, Condition (c). The maximum threshold is Y_{ei} , Y_{sj} should be smaller than the maximum threshold.),

determining a minimum threshold value in at least the Y-axial direction for the first and second images (Figures 20E and 20H, Condition (a). The minimum threshold is Y_{si} , Y_{sj} should be greater than the minimum threshold.).

With regards to Claim 9, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 1 are applicable to Claim 9.

With regards to Claim 10, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 2 are applicable to Claim 10.

With regards to Claim 11, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 3 are applicable to Claim 11.

With regards to Claim 12, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 4 are applicable to Claim 12.

With regards to Claim 13, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 5 are applicable to Claim 13.

With regards to Claim 14, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 6 are applicable to Claim 14.

With regards to Claim 15, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 7 are applicable to Claim 15.

With regards to Claim 16, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 8 are applicable to Claim 16.

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mehrdad Dastouri whose telephone number is (703)

Art Unit: 2623

305-2438. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on (703) 308-6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MEHRDAD DASTOURI
PRIMARY EXAMINER



Mehrdad Dastouri
Primary Examiner
Group Art Unit 2623
April 8, 2004